Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sunil Kumar,

S/o Sh. Lekh Raj, # 1350/9, Gali Gujran Wali, Chowk Baba Sahib, Amritsar.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 745 of 2019

PRESENT:

Sunil Kumar (Appellant) 98727-79282 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **7.8.18** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding complaint by Mrs. Pooja D/o Ashok Kumar dated: 29.9.14 UID No. 600878, dated: 30.9.14 and UID No. 756998 dated: 15.6.15 against her husband and others, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **13.9.18** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.2.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.2.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, somehow missed this Commission's notice of 8.1.20 and so he requested an adjournment. In the meantime, he has assured the Commission that he will check the record and call the appellant and furnish the information, if available in the records.
- 3. Next hearing on 25.3.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Inspector General, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3907 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Harjinder Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 62801-95585

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.12.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Dakha since 2010</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector, Pawandev Singh and Head Constable, Harjinder Singh, have brought information in one page. However, this is contrary to the directions of this Commission on 26.12.19, wherein the respondent PIO was directed to furnish attested copies of the monthly report sent by the Police Station Dakha to the SSP's office.
- 3. The respondent PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station Dakha is herewith, warned that if the information is not given before the next date of hearing, penal action will be initiated against him by name. Copy of this order be marked to the SSP, Ludhiana Rural (Jagraon).
- 4. Next hearing on 17.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
14.2.2020

CC: Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana Rural, (Jagraon).

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
District Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana

Appeal Case No. 3908 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Malkeet Singh, SI, Div. No. 6 (for the Respondent) 92728-80032

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **26.12.19**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Division No. 6 since 2010</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented, by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh and Sub Inspector, Malkeet Singh, are present. The respondent PIO has furnished the information by way of a list giving the number of cases registered. However, at the last hearing, this Commission had directed the respondent PIO to furnish copies of Monthly Crime Reports sent by Police Station Division No.6 to the CP's office at Ludhiana.
- 3. The respondent PIO is given one more opportunity to furnish the aforesaid information to the appellant at the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 17.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3909 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Prem Lal, ASI, Div. No.4 (for the Respondent) 98151-02703

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **26.12.19**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Division No. 4 since 2010</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh and ASI Prem Lal, are present. The respondent PIO has furnished the information by way of a list giving the number of cases registered. However, at the last hearing, this Commission had directed the respondent PIO to furnish copy of Monthly Crime Reports sent by Police Station Division No.4 to the CP's office in Ludhiana.
- 3. The respondent PIO is given one more opportunity to furnish the aforesaid information to the appellant at the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 17.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
District Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana

Appeal Case No. 3910 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.12.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> way of all cases registered in <u>Police Stations under the Ludhiana Police Commissionerate</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, submitted a reply furnishing the information requested by the appellant at point 1. The information requested at the remaining points of the RTI application are in the form of queries and so rightly denied by the respondent PIO.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3911 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **26.12.19**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding personal and service details of one Sub Inspector Madhu Bala.</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, submitted a part of the information that he was asked to furnish in this Commission's order's on 20.12.19. He has assured the Commission that the information pertaining to the point no. 8 i.e. the pay and emoluments of SI Madhu Bala will be furnished as soon as possible. On this assurance of Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Director General of Police (Administration), Punjab Police Headquarters, Chandigarh. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Director General of Police (Administration), Punjab Police Headquarters, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3912 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.12.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **29.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding personal and service details of one Sub Inspector Sulakhan Singh.</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.9.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, has submitted a part of the information that he was asked to furnish in this Commission's order's on 20.12.19. He has assured the Commission that the information pertaining to the point no. 8 i.e. the pay and emoluments of SI Sulakhan Singh will be furnished to him. On this assurance of Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur,

District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3913 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Shingara Singh, ASI, PS Division No. 8 (for the Respondent) 94637-95985

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.12.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Division No. 8 since 2010</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh and ASI Shingara Singh, are present. The respondent PIO has furnished the information by way of a list giving the number of cases registered. However, at the last hearing, this Commission had directed the respondent PIO to furnish copies of Monthly Crime Reports sent by Police Station Division No.8 to the CP's office in Ludhiana.
- 3. The respondent PIO is given one more opportunity to furnish the aforesaid information to the appellant at the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 17.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Garib Singh,

s/o Narata Singh, Village Tangori, P.O: Mote Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali – 140 306

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Sohana, Sector-79, S.A.S Nagar, District Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-2) Sector-62, Phase-8, Mohali – 160 062

Appeal Case No. 3915 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Damanbir Singh, DSP (for the Respondent) 78886-58557 Satpal Chaudhary, ASI (for the Respondent) 98152-33329

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **26.12.19**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **2.8.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by way of a copy of the FIR in the attack on Jagtar Singh Pradhan of village Tangori by Jagroop Singh and Rajinder Singh of village Kardi, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.9.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.</u>
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO represented by DSP Damanbir Singh accompanied by ASI, Satpal Chaudhary, have submitted that the information requested by the appellant was sent to him on 3.1.2020. He has also submitted a copy of the receiving by the appellant to this Commission.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Karnail Singh,

s/o Late Sarwan Singh, # 106, Street No. 4, Sidhu Colony Bhadson Road, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Director General of Police, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector- 9 C, Chandigarh. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Director General of Police, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector- 9 C, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3922 of 2019

PRESENT:

Karnail Singh (Appellant)
Purshotam Kumar, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 94171-31510

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.12.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **14.5.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> <u>way of copies of original promotion letters of DSPs mentioned in Letter No. 1/34/2016-311/dsp dated 21.4.16</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Head Constable Purshotam Kumar, has submitted that the appellant did not make any written clarification as directed by the Commission at the last hearing on 26.12.19.
- 3. This Commission, today, conveyed the exact clarification to the respondent highlighting nine specific orders, attested copies of which, are to be supplied to the appellant. The respondent PIO is directed to furnish these before the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 17.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Paramraj Singh Umrananagal,

1549, Sector-36 D, Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab Police Head Quarter, Chandigarh.

Complaint Case No. 941 of 2019

PRESENT:

Guninder Singh Advocate (for the Complainant) 94635-00028 Ravinder Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 94638-44325 Rattandeep Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 98559-11119

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.1.2020)

- 1. The complainant, Paramraj Singh Umranagal, filed this RTI application dated **1.5.19** and sought information pertaining to reports of the Justice Zora Singh Commission and Justice Ranjit Singh Commission as enumerated in his RTI application. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **24.10.19**. The case was last heard on **10.1.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by ASI, Ravinder Singh and Head Constable, Rattandeep Singh are present.

Further Orders in this complaint case are herewith **RESERVED** for pronouncement in due course.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Dr. Manjit Singh Komal,Ex-Principal GGN Khalsa College,
174-A, Shastri Nagar,
Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. Public Information Officer, o/o SHO, Police Station, Salem Tabri, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 1127 of 2018

PRESENT:

Dr. Manjit singh Komal (Appellant) 99145-14555 Kulwinder Singh, ASI, Office of Salem Tabri, Ludhiana (for the Respondent) 62847-57900 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 13.11.19 and 15.1.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 12.1.18 vide which the appellant has sought <u>information regarding the action taken and inspection report prepared by ASI Sukhwinder Singh etc. on his complaint emailed to the Deputy Chief Minister on 13.2.16, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 12.2.18, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 27.3.18 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 15.1.2020.</u>
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh and ASI Kulwinder Singh from the Police Station Salem Tabri, Ludhiana, submitted two Affidavits. The first by the SHO Police Station Salem Tabri, Kamaljeet Singh, and a second one by ASI Sukhwinder Singh, who is presently posted at Police Station Division No. 7. Both officials have stated that no enquiry was conducted into the complaint e-mailed by the appellant to the Deputy Chief Minister on 13.2.16. ASI Sukhwinder Singh has also stated that he has made no report on the aforesaid matter.
- 3. It would appear that the appellant's e-mail to the Deputy Chief Minister may not have been referred to the office of CP, Ludhiana and thereafter to Police Station Salem Tabri.
- 4. The SHO Police Station Salem Tabri is directed to peruse his record and submit another affidavit stating whether the aforesaid e-mail was referred to the Police Station or not.
- 5. Next hearing on 17.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner Chandigarh 14.2.2020

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Naresh Sekhri,

#1906/89, Street No. 1-B, Basant Nagar, Near Baagi Stand, New Shimlapuri, Ludhiana-141003

Versus

Public Information Officer.

o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, New Courts Complex, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner of Ludhiana, New Courts Complex, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana – 141 001.

Appeal Case No. 3884 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceeding)

PRESENT:

Naresh Sekhri (Appellant) 70875-55500 Meet Ram, ASI (for the Respondent) 98158-00104 Ramesh Kumar, ASI, (for the Respondent) 99156-03000

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **26.12.19**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **24.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding Madhu Sekri's complaint (No. 658) submitted to Police Station Daba on 20.2.18</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **17.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present through Video Conference at NIC Center in the office of Deputy Commissioner Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Meet Ram from the Police Station Daba submitted that as directed by this Commission, an Affidavit was sent to the appellant which was received by him on 4.2.20. He also, today, handed over an attested copy of the letter vide which an enquiry has been initiated into the disappearance of the appellant's complaint (No. 658 of 20.2.18). The respondent is directed to e-mail a copy of the aforesaid letter to the Commission.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner